

From the INTERNATIONAL BUREAU

JS

PCT

NOTIFICATION CONCERNING
TRANSMITTAL OF COPY OF INTERNATIONAL
PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY
(CHAPTER I OF THE PATENT COOPERATION
TREATY)

(PCT Rule 44bis.1(c))

To:

G.E. Ehrlich (1995) Ltd.
11 Menachem Begin Street
52521 Ramat Gan
ISRAËL

RECEIVED

02 SEP 2007

FILE No. 3462

G.E. EHRlich (1995) LTD.

Date of mailing (day/month/year) 16 August 2007 (16.08.2007)		
Applicant's or agent's file reference 34612		IMPORTANT NOTICE
International application No. PCT/IL2006/000140	International filing date (day/month/year) 05 February 2006 (05.02.2006)	Priority date (day/month/year) 04 February 2005 (04.02.2005)
Applicant MOTORIKA LIMITED et al		

The International Bureau transmits herewith a copy of the international preliminary report on patentability (Chapter I of the Patent Cooperation Treaty)

<p>The International Bureau of WIPO 34, chemin des Colombettes 1211 Geneva 20, Switzerland</p> <p>Facsimile No. +41 22 338 82 70</p>	<p>Authorized officer Simin Baharlou</p> <p>e-mail: pt09.pct@wipo.int</p>
--	--

PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

PCT

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

(Chapter I of the Patent Cooperation Treaty)

(PCT Rule 44bis)

Applicant's or agent's file reference 34612	FOR FURTHER ACTION		See item 4 below
International application No. PCT/IL2006/000140	International filing date (<i>day/month/year</i>) 05 February 2006 (05.02.2006)	Priority date (<i>day/month/year</i>) 04 February 2005 (04.02.2005)	
International Patent Classification (8th edition unless older edition indicated) See relevant information in Form PCT/ISA/237			
Applicant MOTORIKA LIMITED			

1. This international preliminary report on patentability (Chapter I) is issued by the International Bureau on behalf of the International Searching Authority under Rule 44 bis.1(a).

2. This REPORT consists of a total of 4 sheets, including this cover sheet.

In the attached sheets, any reference to the written opinion of the International Searching Authority should be read as a reference to the international preliminary report on patentability (Chapter I) instead.

3. This report contains indications relating to the following items:

- Box No. I Basis of the report
- Box No. II Priority
- Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability
- Box No. IV Lack of unity of invention
- Box No. V Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement
- Box No. VI Certain documents cited
- Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application
- Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application

4. The International Bureau will communicate this report to designated Offices in accordance with Rules 44bis.3(c) and 93bis.1 but not, except where the applicant makes an express request under Article 23(2), before the expiration of 30 months from the priority date (Rule 44bis .2).

The International Bureau of WIPO 34, chemin des Colombettes 1211 Geneva 20, Switzerland Facsimile No. +41 22 338 82 70	Date of issuance of this report 07 August 2007 (07.08.2007)
	Authorized officer Simin Baharlou e-mail: pt09.pct@wipo.int

PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

From the
INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

PCT

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE
INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

(PCT Rule 43bis.1)

To:
PAUL FENSTER
FENSTER & COMPANY, INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY LTD.
P.O BOX 10256
PETACH TIKVA, ISRAEL 49002

Date of mailing (day/month/year) **03 JAN 2007**

Applicant's or agent's file reference
414/05078

FOR FURTHER ACTION
See paragraph 2 below

International application No. PCT/IL06/00140	International filing date (day/month/year) 05 February 2006 (05.02.2006)	Priority date (day/month/year) 04 February 2005 (04.02.2005)
---	---	---

International Patent Classification (IPC) or both national classification and IPC

IPC: **A61H 1/00(2006.01)**
USPC: 601/5,23,27,29,32

Applicant
MOTORIKA, INC.

1. This opinion contains indications relating to the following items:

- Box No. I Basis of the opinion
- Box No. II Priority
- Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability
- Box No. IV Lack of unity of invention
- Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement
- Box No. VI Certain documents cited
- Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application
- Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application

2. FURTHER ACTION

If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will be considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA") except that this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority other than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notified the International Bureau under Rule 66.1bis(b) that written opinions of this International Searching Authority will not be so considered.

If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA a written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of 3 months from the date of mailing of Form PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expires later.

For further options, see Form PCT/ISA/220.

3. For further details, see notes to Form PCT/ISA/220.

Name and mailing address of the ISA/ US Mail Stop PCT, Attn: ISA/US Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 Facsimile No. (571) 273-3201	Date of completion of this opinion 11 October 2006 (11.10.2006)	Authorized officer Michael Brown <i>Sharon D. Green</i> Telephone No. 571-272-4972
--	--	---

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE
INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No.

PCT/IL06/00140

Box No. I Basis of this opinion

1. With regard to the **language**, this opinion has been established on the basis of:

- the international application in the language in which it was filed
 a translation of the international application into _____, which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search (Rules 12.3(a) and 23.1^(b))).

2. With regard to any **nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence** disclosed in the international application and necessary to the claimed invention, this opinion has been established on the basis of:

a. type of material

- a sequence listing
 table(s) related to the sequence listing

b. format of material

- on paper
 in electronic form

c. time of filing/furnishing

- contained in the international application as filed.
 filed together with the international application in electronic form.
 furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.

3. In addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table(s) relating thereto has been filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional copies is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as appropriate, were furnished.

4. Additional comments:

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE
INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No.
PCT/IL06/00140

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43 bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

1. Statement

Novelty (N)	Claims <u>12 and 40-49</u>	YES
	Claims <u>1-11 and 13-39</u>	NO
Inventive step (IS)	Claims <u>NONE</u>	YES
	Claims <u>1-49</u>	NO
Industrial applicability (IA)	Claims <u>1-49</u>	YES
	Claims <u>NONE</u>	NO

2. Citations and explanations:

Claims 1-11 and 13-39 lack novelty under PCT Article 33(2) as being anticipated by Horowitz et al.

Claims 12 and 40-49 lack an inventive step under PCT Article 33(3) as being obvious over Horowitz et al. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time that the invention was made that the pads disclosed by Horowitz could have rubber thereon because it is old and well known to have rubber cover brake pads on a bicycle or an exercising device. The data collected using the exercising device could be used to perform the method steps recited in claims 40-49.

Claims 1-49 meet the criteria set out in PCT Article 33(4), and thus meet industrial applicability because the subject matter claimed can be made or used in industry.